Table 36: Clinical evidence profile: Pro-inflammatory cytokine antagonists (anakinra) versus placebo

	<u> </u>	<u></u>	noo promor		mucory cy		omsis (anakima) v	0.000	piacosc			
Quality assessment						No of patients		Effect				
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Pro-inflammatory cytokine antagonists (anakinra) versus placebo	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Mortality (follow-up 24 weeks)												
1	trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	0/25 (0%)	0/25 (0%)	RD 0.00 (- 0.07 to 0.07)	0 more per 1000 (from 70 fewer to 70 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL
Fatigue: CIS fatigue (follow-up 24 weeks; range of scores: 8-56; Better indicated by lower values)												
1	trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious ¹	very serious ²	none	25	25	-	MD 1.3 higher (5.3 lower to 7.9 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Physical functioning: SF36 physical function (follow-up 24 weeks; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)												
1	trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious ¹	serious ²	none	25	25	-	MD 4 lower (15.1 lower to 7.1 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Psychological status: Symptom Checklist 90 (follow-up 24 weeks; range of scores: 90-450; Better indicated by lower values)												
1	trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision ²	none	25	25	-	MD 3 higher (8.6 lower to 14.6 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL

Pain: VA	S maximum	pain score	(follow-up 24 we	eeks; range o	f scores: 0-10;	Better indicated I	by lower values)					
1	trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious ¹	very serious ²	none	25	25	-	MD 0.34 higher (1.1 lower to 1.78 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Adverse	events (follo	w-up 24 we	eeks)									
1	trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	24/25 (96%)	14/25 (56%)	RR 1.71 (1.2 to 2.45)	398 more per 1000 (from 112 more to 812 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL
Adverse	events: with	drawal due	to adverse ever	nts (follow-up	24 weeks)							
1	trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	serious ¹	very serious²	none	1/25 (4%)	0/25 (0%)	Peto OR 7.39 (0.15 to 372.38)	40 more per 1000 (from 60 fewer to 140 more)		CRITICAL
Symptor	n scales: Sic	kness Impa	act Profile (follow	v-up 24 week	s; range of sco	res: 0-5799; Bette	er indicated by lower value	es)				
1	trials	risk of bias	no serious inconsistency		serious ²	none	25	25	-	MD 91.2 higher (275.8 lower to 458.2 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL

¹ The majority of the evidence included an indirect population (downgraded by one increment) or a very indirect population (downgraded by two increments). Populations were downgraded if the ME/CFS diagnostic criteria used did not include PEM as a compulsory feature

² Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs