Table 23: Clinical evidence profile: Antidepressants (duloxetine, fluoxetine, moclobemide) versus placebo

			Quality ass	essment			No of patients			Effect		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Antidepressants (duloxetine, fluoxetine, moclobemide) versus placebo	Control	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Quality o	f Life: SF36 v	vitality (fo	llow-up 12 weeks	s; range of sc	ores: 0-100; Be	etter indicated by	higher values)					

1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	very serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 3.3 higher (10.3 lower to 16.9 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Quality	of Life: SF-36	physical	functioning (foll	ow-up 12 wee	eks; range of so	cores: 0-100; Bette	er indicated by higher values)	-1	1	1		r
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 6.8 higher (8.5 lower to 22.1 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Quality	/ of Life: SF-36	role phys	sical (follow-up 1	2 weeks; ran	ge of scores: 0	-100; Better indica	ated by higher values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 11 higher (9 lower to 31 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Quality	/ of Life: SF36	mental he	alth (follow-up 1	2 weeks; ran	ge of scores: 0	-100; Better indica	ated by higher values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	very serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 1.1 lower (11.8 lower to 9.6 higher)		CRITIC
Quality	of Life: SF36	role emot	ional (follow-up	12 weeks; rai	nge of scores: (0-100; Better indic	ated by higher values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	very serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 4.4 higher (24.2 lower to 33 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITIC
Quality	/ of Life: SF36	bodily pa	in (follow-up 12 \	weeks; range	of scores: 0-10	0; Better indicate	d by higher values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 11.4 higher (0.5 lower to 23.3 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Quality	of Life: SF36	general h	ealth (follow-up	12 weeks; rai	nge of scores: ()-100; Better indic	ated by higher values)					
	randomised	very	no serious	serious ²	very serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 0 higher (10.8 lower to 10.8	⊕000 VERY	CRITICA

1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	very serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 0.7 higher (14.7 lower to 16.1 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Fatigue	: 14-item Chal	der fatigu	ie scale at 26 we	eks (follow-u	p 26 weeks; rai	nge of scores: not	reported; Better indicated by	lower val	ues)	_		
1	randomised trials	very serious ³	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	35 (fluoxetine)	34	-	MD 0.3 lower (4.06 lower to 3.46 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Fatigue	e: MFI-20 gene	ral fatigue	e (follow-up 12 w	eeks; range o	of scores: not r	eported; Better in	dicated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 1 lower (2.8 lower to 0.8 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Fatigue	e: MFI-20 physi	ical fatigu	e (follow-up 12 v	weeks; range	of scores: not	reported; Better i	ndicated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 0.9 lower (2.7 lower to 0.9 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Fatigue	e: MFI-20 reduc	ced activi	ty (follow-up 12 v	weeks; range	of scores: not	reported; Better i	ndicated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	very serious ³	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 0 higher (1.8 lower to 1.8 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Fatigue	e: MFI-20 reduc	ced motiv	ation (follow-up	12 weeks; rai	nge of scores:	not reported; Bett	er indicated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 0.8 lower (2.6 lower to 1 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Fatigue	: MFI-20 ment	al fatigue	(follow-up 12 we	eks; range o	f scores: not re	eported; Better inc	licated by lower values)					
1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 2.5 lower (4.4 to 0.6 lower)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA

1	randomised trials	very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	52 (fluoxetine)	45	-	MD 0.16 lower (0.64 lower to 0.31 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Physic	al functioning:	Karnofsk	ky Performance I	ndex (measu	red in units of	standard deviatio	n at baseline) (follow-up 6 week	s; Bette	r indicated I	oy higher values)		
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	40 (moclobemide)	37	-	MD 0.28 higher (0.28 lower to 0.84 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Psycho	ological status:	Profile o	of mood states (P	OMS) fatigue	(follow-up 6 w	eeks; range of sc	ores: 0-28; Better indicated by	lower va	lues)			
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	40 (moclobemide)	37	-	MD 0.04 lower (0.2 lower to 0.12 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Psycho	ological status:	Profile o	of mood states (P	OMS) vigour	(follow-up 6 w	eeks; range of sco	ores: 0-32; Better indicated by h	nigher va	lues)			
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	40 (moclobemide)	37	-	MD 0.51 higher (0 to 1.02 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Psycho	ological status:	Profile o	of mood states (P	OMS) depres	sion (follow-up	6 weeks; range c	of scores: 0-60; Better indicated	l by lowe	er values)			
					very serious ³	none	40	37	-	MD 0.02 higher	⊕000	
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	very serious		(moclobemide)			(0.36 lower to 0.4 higher)	VERY	CRITICA
1 Psycho	trials		inconsistency				(moclobemide) pres: 0-21; Better indicated by I	ower val	ues)	`	VERY	CRITICA
1 Psycho 2	trials		inconsistency					ower val	ues) -	`	VERY LOW ⊕000	CRITICA
2	trials blogical status: randomised trials	HADS do	epression chang	e scores (foll	ow-up 12-26 w	eeks; range of sco	ores: 0-21; Better indicated by I		ues) -	MD 0.51 higher (0.72 lower to 1.74	UERY LOW ⊕OOO VERY	

		r	1									
1	randomised trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	52 (fluoxetine)	45	-	MD 0.19 lower (0.35 to 0.02 lower)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Pain: B	rief Pain Inven	tory seve	rity (follow-up 1	2 weeks; ran	ge of scores: 0	-10; Better indicat	ed by lower values)					
1		very serious¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 0.73 lower (1 to 0.46 lower)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Pain: B	rief Pain Inven	tory inter	ference (follow-	up 12 weeks;	range of score	s: 0-10; Better ind	licated by lower values)			•		
1	randomised trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 0.7 lower (0.96 to 0.44 lower)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Adverse	e events: trem	or (follow	v-up 16 weeks)									
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	18/45 (40%) (fluoxetine)	13/51 (25.5%)	RR 1.57 (0.87 to 2.83)	145 more per 1000 (from 33 fewer to 466 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICA
Adverse	e events: pers	piration (f	follow-up 16 wee	eks)								
1		very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	30/45 (66.7%) (fluoxetine)	20/51 (39.2%)	RR 1.7 (1.14 to 2.53)	275 more per 1000 (from 55 more to 600 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Exercis	e performance	e measure	e: VO2 max (mL	O2/kg/min) (f	ollow-up 26 we	eks; Better indica	ted by higher values)					
1		very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	35 (fluoxetine)	34	-	MD 1.1 higher (1.43 lower to 3.63 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICA
Sympto	om scales: Clin	ical Glob	al Impression of	Severity (fol	low-up 12 weel	ks; range of score	s: 1-7; Better indicated by low	er values)				
• • • • • • • • •							27	30		MD 0.1 lower (0.3	⊕000	CRITICA

	randomised trials	,	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	27 (duloxetine)	30	-	MD 0.8 lower (1.7 lower to 0.1 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Sympto	m scales: CD0	C sympto	m inventory (foll	ow-up 12 wee	eks; range of so	cores: not reporte	ed; Better indicated by lower va	lues)				
I	randomised trials	,	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	20 (duloxetine)	26	-	MD 2.7 lower (15.5 lower to 10.1 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Sympto	m scales: Imp	rovemen	t of symptoms (p	atient-report	ed) (follow-up 6	6-16 weeks)						
2		· · ·	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	serious ³	none	32/92 (34.8%) (fluoxetine or moclobemide)	19/94 (20.2%)	RR 1.63 (1.02 to 2.59)	127 more per 1000 (from 4 more to 321 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Sympto	m scales: Wo	rsening o	f symptoms (pat	ient-reported) (follow-up 16	weeks)						
1	randomised trials	,	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	very serious ³	none	7/45 (15.6%) (fluoxetine)	12/51 (23.5%)	RR 0.66 (0.28 to 1.53)	80 fewer per 1000 (from 169 fewer to 125 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias ² The majority of the evidence included an indirect population (downgraded by one increment) or a very indirect population (downgraded by two increments). Populations were downgraded if the ME/CFS diagnostic criteria used did not include PEM as a compulsory feature ³ Downgraded by 1 increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID or by 2 increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs

⁴ Downgraded for inconsistency. I²=63%

305