Quality assessment							Number of participants		Effect		Quality	
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Multicomponent intervention package	Typical transition	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Progress	into employr	nent as m	easured by partic	pation in a paid	d or unpaid com	munity based worl	k (follow-up approximat	ely 8 weeks; I	oetter indica	ted by higher va	lues)	
1 (Carter 2009)	randomised trial	very serious ¹		no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	25/38 (65.8%)	5/27 (18.5%)	RR 3.55 (1.56 to 8.10)	472 more per 1,000 (from 104 more to 1,000 more)	LOW	CRITICAL
Progress	into employr	nent as m	easured by held	paid competitive	e jobs (follow-up	approximately 8 v	veeks; better indicated I	by higher valu	ies)			
1 (Carter 2009)	randomised trial	very serious¹		no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	17/38 (44.7%)	3/27 (11.1%)	RR 4.03 (1.31 to 12.39)	337 more per 1,000 (from 34 more to 1,000 more)	LOW	CRITICAL
Progress	into employr	nent as m	easured by held (unpaid jobs (foll	ow-up approxim	ately 8 weeks; bet	ter indicated by higher	values)				
1 (Carter 2009)	randomised trial	very serious ¹		no serious indirectness	very serious imprecision ²	none	8/38 (21.1%)	2/27 (7.4%)	RR 2.84 (0.65 to 12.35)	136 more per 1,000 (from 26 fewer to 841 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Progress	into employr	nent as m	easured by those	that exclusivel	y held sheltered	jobs (follow-up ap	proximately 8 weeks; b	etter indicate	d by higher v	values)		
1 (Carter 2009)	randomised trial	very serious¹		no serious indirectness	very serious imprecision ²	none	3/38 (7.9%)	3/27 (11.1%)	RR 0.71 (0.16 to 3.26)	32 fewer per 1,000 (from 93 fewer to 251 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL

Disabled children and young people up to 25 with severe complex needs: evidence reviews for preparation for employment (March 2022)

Quality assessment							Number of participants		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Multicomponent intervention package	Typical transition	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute		
1 (Carter 2009)		· · ·			no serious imprecision	none	10/38 (26.3%)		RR 0.37 (0.21 to 0.67)	10/38 (26.3%)	LOW	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; MID: minimal important difference; ROB 2: Cochrane risk of bias tool version 2; RR: risk ratio ¹Evidence downgraded by 2 due to high risk of bias in one domain (randomisation process) as per RoB 2 ²Evidence downgraded by 2 due to 95% CI crossed 2 MIDs (Default MIDs for dichotomous outcomes = 0.80 and 1.25)