

Quality assessment	Number of participants	Effect	Quality	Importance
--------------------	------------------------	--------	---------	------------

No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Jobs by 21 Partnership Project	Nonparticipants	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
Progress into employment as measured by number employed in the fiscal year 2008 (follow-up 3 months; better indicated by higher values)												
1 (Winsor 2011)	observational study	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	72/160 (45.0%)	18/315 (5.7%)	RR 7.88 (4.87 to 12.73)	393 more per 1,000 (from 221 more to 670 more)	LOW	CRITICAL
Progress into employment as measured by number employed in the fiscal year 2009 (follow-up 3 months; better indicated by higher values)												
1 (Winsor 2011)	observational study	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	26/230 (11.3%)	2/341 (0.6%)	RR 19.27 (4.62 to 80.42)	107 more per 1,000 (from 21 more to 466 more)	LOW	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

¹Evidence downgraded by 2 due to serious risk of bias in 4 domains (confounding, selection of participants into the study, measurement of outcomes, and selection of the reported result) as per ROBINS-I