

Quality assessment							Number of participants		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Jobs by 21 Partnership Project	No Partnership Project county clients	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
Progress into employment as measured by number employed in the fiscal year 2008 (follow-up 3 months; better indicated by higher values)												
1 (Winsor 2011)	observational study	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	72/160 (45.0%)	14/212 (6.6%)	RR 6.81 (3.99 to 11.63)	384 more per 1,000 (from 197 more to 702 more)	LOW	CRITICAL
Progress into employment as measured by number employed in the fiscal year 2009 (follow-up 3 months; better indicated by higher values)												
1 (Winsor 2011)	observational study	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious imprecision ²	none	26/230 (11.3%)	11/194 (5.7%)	RR 1.99 (1.01 to 3.93)	56 more per 1,000 (from 1 more to 166 more)	LOW	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

¹*Evidence downgraded by 2 due to serious risk of bias in 4 domains (confounding, selection of participants into the study, measurement of outcomes, and selection of the reported result) as per ROBINS-I*

²*Evidence downgraded by 1 due to 95% CI crossed 1 MID (Default MID for dichotomous outcomes = 1.25)*