

Quality assessment							Number of participants		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Extension of transition services beyond graduation	Transition services ceasing at graduation	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
<b>Independence as measured by registered to vote (follow-up 5 years; better indicated by higher values)</b>												
1 (Izzo 2000)	quasi-randomised trial	very serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious imprecision <sup>2</sup>	none	18/30 (60.0%)	9/16 (56.3%)	RR 1.07 (0.63 to 1.80)	39 more per 1,000 (from 208 fewer to 450 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Independence as measured by married (follow-up 5 years; better indicated by higher values)</b>												

1 (Izzo 2000)	quasi-randomised trial	very serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious imprecision <sup>2</sup>	none	6/30 (20.0%)	3/17 (17.6%)	RR 1.13 (0.32 to 3.96)	23 more per 1,000 (from 120 fewer to 522 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Independence as measured by active in social groups (follow-up 5 years; better indicated by higher values)</b>												
1 (Izzo 2000)	quasi-randomised trial	very serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious imprecision <sup>3</sup>	none	17/30 (56.7%)	3/17 (17.6%)	RR 3.21 (1.10 to 9.39)	390 more per 1,000 (from 18 more to 1,000 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Independence as measured by has savings account (follow-up 5 years; better indicated by higher values)</b>												
1 (Izzo 2000)	quasi-randomised trial	very serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	20/29 (69.0%)	3/16 (18.8%)	RR 3.68 (1.29 to 10.50)	503 more per 1,000 (from 54 more to 1,000 more)	LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Independence as measured by has checkings account (follow-up 5 years; better indicated by higher values)</b>												
1 (Izzo 2000)	quasi-randomised trial	very serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious imprecision <sup>2</sup>	none	15/29 (51.7%)	5/16 (31.3%)	RR 1.66 (0.74 to 3.71)	206 more per 1,000 (from 81 fewer to 847 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Independence as measured by has credit cards (follow-up 5 years; better indicated by higher values)</b>												
1 (Izzo 2000)	quasi-randomised trial	very serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious imprecision <sup>2</sup>	none	7/29 (24.1%)	1/16 (6.3%)	RR 3.86 (0.52 to 28.66)	179 more per 1,000 (from 30 fewer to 1,000 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
<b>Independence as measured by has driver's license (follow-up 5 years; better indicated by higher values)</b>												
1 (Izzo 2000)	quasi-randomised trial	very serious <sup>1</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious imprecision <sup>4</sup>	none	18/29 (62.1%)	14/16 (87.5%)	RR 0.71 (0.51 to 1.00)	254 fewer per 1,000 (from 429 fewer to 0 fewer)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; MID: minimal important difference; ROB 2: Cochrane risk of bias tool version 2; RR: risk ratio

<sup>1</sup>Evidence downgraded by 2 due to high risk of bias in three domains (randomisation process, deviations from intended interventions, and missing outcome data) as per RoB 2

<sup>2</sup>Evidence downgraded by 2 due to 95% CI crossed 2 MIDs (Default MIDs for dichotomous outcomes = 0.80 and 1.25)

<sup>3</sup>Evidence downgraded by 1 due to 95% CI crossed 1 MID (Default MID for dichotomous outcomes = 1.25)

<sup>4</sup>Evidence downgraded by 1 due to 95% CI crossed 1 MID (Default MID for dichotomous outcomes = 0.80)