Figure 12: Arm function (FMA UE, 0-66, higher values are better, change scores) at ≥6 months

Study or Subgroup	Robot arm training Mean	SD	Total	Other interventions Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Ang 2014	9.2	3.8	15	3.6	5.9	7	4.3%	5.60 [0.82, 10.38]
Burgar 2011	23.1	19.4	25	15.3	58.88973	12	0.1%	7.80 [-26.38, 41.98]
Conroy 2011	2.97	3.531963	41	1.82	3.574409	21	27.8%	1.15 [-0.72, 3.02]
Hesse 2014	16.8	16	25	20.2	14.6	25	1.4%	-3.40 [-11.89, 5.09]
Housman 2009	3.6	2.9	14	1.5	2.7	14	22.6%	2.10 [0.02, 4.18]
Lum 2002	2.45	3	13	2.4	2.7	14	20.9%	0.05 [-2.11, 2.21]
Lum 2006	5.7	4.7	24	6.9	4.5	6	5.9%	-1.20 [-5.26, 2.86]
Masiero 2011	12.5	8.9	11	14.21	7.1	10	2.1%	-1.71 [-8.57, 5.15]
Ranzani 2020	8.64	7.42	14	8.08	8.32	13	2.7%	0.56 [-5.40, 6.52]
Remy-Neris 2021	23.44	11.09	97	22.41	10.53	97	10.5%	1.03 [-2.01, 4.07]
Susanto 2015	6.1	10.9	9	2.7	4.4	10	1.7%	3.40 [-4.23, 11.03]
Total (95% CI)			288			229	100.0%	1.08 [0.09, 2.07]

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 8.70, df = 10 (P = 0.56); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.14 (P = 0.03)