Figure 25: Stroke-specific Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (Stroke Impact Scale total, 0-100, higher values are better, change scores and final values) at end of intervention

	Rob	ot arm traini	ing	Other interventions			Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
Conroy 2011	3.98	11.97384	41	-3.19	11.27314	21	20.0%	7.17 [1.11, 13.23]	-	
Dehem 2019	50	21.4	15	50.9	34.7	17	1.9%	-0.90 [-20.63, 18.83]		
Lo 2010	6.3	11.8	49	1.4	12.1	78	40.4%	4.90 [0.64, 9.16]	-	
Volpe 2008	67.1	7.959899	11	65.5	7.589466	10	16.6%	1.60 [-5.05, 8.25]	-	
Wu 2012	73.97	8.68	14	66.18	10.11	28	21.1%	7.79 [1.90, 13.68]		
Total (95% CI)			130			154	100.0%	5.31 [2.60, 8.02]	♦	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.65$, $df = 4$ ($P = 0.62$); $I^2 = 0\%$ Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.84$ ($P = 0.0001$)								-100 -50 0 50 1 Favours other interventions Favours robot therapy	00	