Data Abstraction Form for Question 1 What constitutes an adequate diagnostic evaluation in the primary care setting on which to base treatment of UI?

(Complete for each study) Number of the study in the database (PubMed ID, Cochrane accession number, ISBN) First author Year of the publication____ Purpose/aim of study___ Sponsorship___ Conflict of interest Design of the study (check one) prospective cohort retrospective cohort cross-sectional descriptive study ☐ case-control case-series ☐ randomized controlled clinical trial ☐ not randomized clinical interventions other (specify) Population variables (target population) Data source for population variables (define) Recruitment_____ Consent ____ Settings: Community (general population)_____ Primary clinic______Specialized clinic_____ Location: Country ____ Urban _____ Rural ___ Subjects: Race Define African Continental Ancestry Group, % Asian Continental Ancestry Group, %___ European Continental Ancestry Group, % Ethnicity: Define African Americans, %_____ Arabs, %_____ Asian Americans, % Hispanic Americans, %_____

Mean age, years_____ Standard deviation_____

Age intervals:

Health status Primary Health Condition, Diagnosis Sample size:
Sampling strategy: Random Self-selected Inclusion criteria:
Incontinence (dependent variable) Definition of incontinence Urinary Combined
"Gold standard" to detect urinary incontinence used in the article
Multichannel urodynamics cut points of continence - Maximal urethral pressure (MUP) - Functional urethral length (FUL) - Maximal cystometric capacity (MCC) - Abdominal leak point pressure (ALPP)
Index diagnostic tests for urinary incontinence: Define Cut points of continence
Clinical history Nature Duration Symptoms and their severity Symptom bothersomeness or impact Functional and mental status Medical, surgical and gynecological history Exacerbating factors: diet, fluid, and medications
Diagnostic tests for urinary incontinence: Provocation stress test Frequency volume chart Post-void residual volume (PVR) Distal Urethral Electrical Conductance test Pad tests Paper towel test Ultrasound Q-Tip test
QuestionnaireScales
For each test provide comparison with "gold standard": True positives False positives False negatives True negatives Sensitivity, % Specificity, %
Reliability: Cronbach alpha Kappa statistics Correlation coefficients

Inter-observer variability
Level of evidence of the individual study (check one)
Interventions: I Well-designed randomized controlled trial II-1A Well-designed controlled trial with pseudo-randomization I-1B Well-designed controlled trial without randomization
Observational studies I-2A Well-designed cohort (prospective) study with concurrent controls I-2B Well-designed cohort (prospective) study with historical controls II-2C Well-designed cohort (retrospective) study with concurrent controls II-3 Well-designed case-controlled (retrospective) study III Large differences from comparisons between times and/or places IY Opinion of respected authorities based in clinical experience